

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexascins, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/772,313	02/06/2004	Y.S. Fung	2587/79618/RKD	7424
23432 7590 09/11/2008 COOPER & DUNHAM, LLP			EXAMINER	
1185 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS		MAYEKAR, KISHOR		
NEW YORK, NY 10036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1795	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/11/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/772.313 FUNG ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Kishor Mavekar 1795 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 July 2008. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (FTO/S5/0E)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date ________

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1,114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth
in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application
is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR
1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn
pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 9 July 2008 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC \$ 102 and \$ 103

- The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Claims 1-6 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over December (US 6,214,188 B1). December's invention is directed to an anodic electrocoating composition. December discloses, in the abstract and col. 6, lines 48-55, that the anodic electrocoating composition comprises an aqueous and base-salted dispersion of polymer with particle size between 0.05 to 5 microns (or 50 to 5000 µm). December also discloses that the anodic electrocoating composition

o has an electroconductivity between 0.1 to 5 mS/cm (or 100 to 5000 μ S/cm), see

Page 3

col. 8. lines 9-16;

o has a pH of 7.9, see Examples 2 and 4; and

o may contain a coalescing solvent in an amount between 0 and 15 wt%, see col. 7.

lines 32-46.

December also discloses in Example 5 the coating method. It has been held that the

disclosure in the prior art of any value within the claimed range is an anticipation of that

range. And a prima facie case of obviousness exists in the case where the claimed range

overlaps range disclosed by the prior art, In re Wertheim 191 USPQ 90.

As to the subject matter of claims 4 and 5, December discloses that the anodic

electrocoating composition may contain pigments. As such the provision of pigment or no

pigment in the anodic electrocoating composition would have been anticipated or obvious by

the teachings of December.

As to the subject matter of claim 6 or 12, December discloses that the anodic

electrocoating composition has a solids content of 19% (Examples 2 and 4).

4. Claims 7-11 and 13-17 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

December '188. December as applied above further discloses the effecting of the coating

and the curing of the formed coating (col. 8, lines 16-27 and Example 5 with an error

indicated on the bath temperature). The difference between December and the instant

claims is the recited driving voltage. The subject matter as a whole would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified December's teachings because it has been settled that proper adjustment of a known effective variable of a known or obvious process is within the capabilities of one having ordinary skill in the art. In re Aller 105 USPQ 233; In re Boesch 205 USPQ 215.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kishor Mayekar whose telephone number is (571) 272-1339. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nam Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-1342. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have guestions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service

Application/Control Number: 10/772,313

Art Unit: 1795

Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN

USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Kishor Mayekar/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1795

Page 5